WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Ces	Cesar Antonio Valdez-Ontiveros Case Num	oer:	11-6162M	
and was	s represe	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hear esented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evide ant pending trial in this case.			
		FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by	a prepo	eponderance of the evidence that:			
	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from v to assure his/her future appearance.	hich	he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substact substantial family ties to Mexico.	ıntial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact	t by f	fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Co at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably a: DIRECTIONS REGARDING DE	ssure	e the appearance of the defendant as required.	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE					
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Dist Court.					
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pre Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview investigate the potential third party custodian.					
DATED this 21st day of April, 2011.					
		John Stranger			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge